



# MICHAEL BARLOW WELL DRILLING & SERVICE, INC.

**522 Underwood Lane** (410) 838-6910

VELL DRILLING

SERVICE, INC.

**Bel Air, Maryland 21014** Fax (410) 838-3582

### WELL YIELD REPORT

	Date Test Completed:		February 7, 2020
	Well Depth:	450	feet
OCR Development		Permit #	HO-18-0166
Old Columbia Road		Subdivision	Evergreen Farm
Fulton	-	Section	
Maryland		Lot #	5
	Old Columbia Road Fulton	Well Depth: OCR Development Old Columbia Road Fulton	Well Depth:450OCR DevelopmentPermit #Old Columbia RoadSubdivisionFultonSection

Time	Water Level feet Pump set @ 200'	Time to Fill 1-gallon bucket seconds	G.P.M.
9:30 AM	28	4	15.00
9:45 AM	117	5	12.00
10:00 AM	180	10	6.00
10:15 AM	180	10	6.00
10:30 AM	180	10	6.00
10:45 AM	180	10	6.00
11:00 AM	180	10	6.00
11:15 AM	180	10	6.00
11:30 AM	180	10	6.00
11:45 AM	180	10	6.00
12:00 PM	180	10	6.00
12:15 PM	180	10	6.00
12:30 PM	180	10	6.00
12:45 PM	180	10	6.00
1:00 PM	180	10	6.00
	for informational purposes only. Please no indicated above is not a guarantee.	ote the yield may increase or decr	ease



#### Maura J. Rossman, M.D., Health Officer

Information Form for the Installation of the Well Pump, Pitless Adapter, and Supply Piping NOTE: The installer is responsible for requesting an inspection prior to 9 am on the day of the desired inspection. No work is to be covered until approved by the Health Department. All installations must comply with the National Standard Plumbing Code (NSPC, as amended locally) and COMAR 26.04.04 (MD Well Construction Regulations). Submission of a complete form is required prior to Use and Occupancy approval.

Company Name: Fogle's Well Pump + Water Treatment, LLC Telephone #: 410-795-1535 Address: P.O. Box 63

Woodbine, Maryland 21797

Must circle one: Licensed Plumber / Licensed Well Driller / Licensed Well Pump Installer

License # and name of individual responsible for the field installation:

Name (Print): Dave C. Fogle License# MSD226

\*A licensed individual must perform the actual installation. Apprentices must be under the supervision of a licensed journeyman or master plumber, pump installer or well driller. Licenses may be subjected to field verification. Unlicensed individuals may be reported to the appropriate licensing agency.

Name of Property Owner: Subdivision: Site Address 1700 Well Cap and Electric Conduit Pitless Adapter Submersible Pump Data Make: Campbell Two piece watertight cap: yes Make: 9 Screened, vented well cap: yes Model # Model#: N/A Pump Capacity GPM Depth: 36" (36" min) Cap secured to casing: yes Well Yield: GPM NSF/WSC approved: yes Conduit min 18" B.G.: yes Depth of well encountered at time of pump installation: USO (feet) Conduit secured to well cap: yes If pump capacity exceeds well yield, a low water cut off switch is required by NSPC 1990 Section 17.8.4 Must circle one: Torque arrestors / Cable guards / Other acceptable method used Safety rope, if used, attached to brass rope adapter or other acceptable method inside of well casing N/A Piping to house House Connection PVC sleeve to undisturbed soil at wall penetration: yes Type: 1" poly pipe Length of sleeve (5' minimum from foundation): 6' PS1: 200 psi (160 psi min) Depth of supply line: 36" (36" min) Sleeve sealed properly: yes The water supply line is required to be at least ten feet from the septic tank, pump chamber, sewage piping, distribution box, drainfields, and sewage reserve area. If this cannot be accomplished, contact this office for approval prior to installation. Signature of company representative responsible for installation For Health Department Use Only - Not to be completed by Installer Date Insp. Requested: (1/2)/2 Date Insp. Approved: (1/2)/2 Inspector: Inspection Data: Pitless adapter waterlight & water supply line at least 36" below grade Two piece cap installed and attached to casing securely Elec. conduit extends at least 18" below grade/attached to cap properly Safety rope not outside of well cap/casing Correct well tag attached properly and casing 8" above finished grade Water supply line sleeved adequately at house connection Adequate grout observed below pitless adapter (Revised form 10/24/2018)



Maura J. Rossman, M.D., Health Officer

## **INTERIM CERTIFICATE OF POTABILITY**

**Expiration Date – November 9, 2023** 

November 9, 2023

Homeowner 8236 White Pine Court Fulton, MD 20759

RE: Fulton Hill, Lot 5 8236 White Pine Ct. Building Permit: B22003426 Well Permit: HO-18-0166

Dear Homeowner:

This is to advise you that the septic system installation and water well construction for the above referenced property have been inspected and approved. Final approval of the septic system was granted on 3/20/2023. Final approval of the well line connection to the dwelling was granted on 4/26/2023. The well construction was completed on 2/7/2020. Water samples were collected on 10/19/2023, 11/6/2023.

The water sample results indicate that the water samples submitted for testing were free of coliform and fecal coliform bacteria at the time of sampling and are bacteriologically safe for drinking. This certifies that the initial sampling requirements of COMAR 26.04.04 "Well Regulations" have been met for the water supply system installed under well permit HO-18-0166. Although the submitted sample results are in compliance with COMAR standards, the Health Department does not guarantee water supplies.

This Interim Certificate of Potability will expire six months from the date of issuance. Submission of a second bacteriological test indicating the water is free of coliform and fecal coliform bacteria is required prior to the expiration date, after which time a Final Certificate of Potability will be issued. Failure to submit an additional sample and obtain a Final Certificate of Potability will result in a Notice of Violation and is punishable as a misdemeanor under the Annotated Code of Maryland, Environment Article, 9-1311, subject to a fine of up to \$500 or imprisonment not to exceed three months.

Please contact (410) 313-1773 to schedule a final water sample appointment or contact a Maryland certified water laboratory to schedule a water sample. A list of laboratories certified by the state of Maryland may be found at the following website: <u>http://www.mde.state.md.us/assets/document/WSP-Labs-2010apr16.pdf</u>



Maura J. Rossman, M.D., Health Officer

In closing, please refer to our "<u>Homeowner Fact Sheet</u>" which illustrates a better understanding for your Onsite Sewage Disposal System. You will also find a link to Maryland Department of the Environments website which describes in further detail operation and maintenance of your septic system.

Approving Authority,

- h. Vill

Kevin M. Wolf, LEHS, R.S./REHS, Supervisor Groundwater Management Section Well & Septic Program

cc: Howard County Dept. of Inspections, Licenses, and Permits Community Hygiene Program File



Bureau of Environmental.Health 8930 Stanford Boulevard, Columbia, MD 21045 Main: 410-313-2640 | Fax: 410-313-2648 TDD 410-313-2323 | Toil Free 1-866-313-6300 www.hchealth.org Facebook: www.facebook.com/hocohealth Twitter: HowardCoHealthDep

Dr. Maura J. Rossman, M.D., Health Officer

# TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES

When submitting a well permit application for a proposed well for new construction, please indicate one of the following:

Well Site Location:	LOTS		
EVERGREEN FARM		OLD COLUMBIA FULTON	RD
Subdivision/Property Name	Lot #	Road Name	
The well site has been (professional land surveyor o on 1142020	r company employing profes	sional land surveyors) nd does not require a site	•

The well driller, builder or property owner will call the Health Department to schedule a time to meet in the field to verify the proposed well site location.

This sheet, along with two copies of an acceptable well site plan, must be attached to the green well permit application.





Maura J. Rossman, M.D., Health Officer

### <u>MEMORANDUM</u>

**TO**: Michael Barlow Well Drilling (Michael Barlow MWD 355)

FROM: Ryan Rappaport, L.E.H.S. Well and Septic Program

**DATE:** January 17, 2020

**RE:** SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Evergreen Farm Lots 3 through 6

There are special conditions for the well permits associated with Evergreen Farm. See specific requirements below for lots 3 through 6.

### **LOT 3**

- The well on Lot 3 will require TDS, sodium and chloride water samples during the yield test.
- The well on Lot 3 must be drilled on the back edge of the well box (furthest away from the proposed road for the subdivision)

### <u>LOT 4</u>

• The well on Lot 4 must be drilled on the back edge of the well box (furthest away from the proposed road for the subdivision)

### <u>LOT 5</u>

• The well on Lot 5 will require TDS, sodium and chloride water samples during the yield test.

### <u>LOT 6</u>

- The well on Lot 6 will require TDS, sodium and chloride water samples during the yield test.
- The well on Lot 6 must be drilled on the back edge of the well box (furthest away from the proposed road for the subdivision)

All drilling, grouting and yields must be called into the Health Department for inspection. For scheduling please call 410-313-1771.

Cc: File

## FOUNTAIN VALLEY ANALYTICAL LABORATORY, INC.

1413 Old Taneytown Rd. Westminster, MD (410) 848-1014 (410) 876-4554

# **REPORT OF ANALYSIS**

Laboratorv ID #: Reference: Location: Date/ Time Collected: Date/Time Rec'd: Chlorine ppm:	8236 White Fulton, MD	20759 1215 1526		Account #: Client: Requested By Source: Site: Treatment: pH:	•	Pump & Treatment
Collected By:	J. Evans	0309.	JE	Well #:	HO-18-0166	
PARAMETERS		RESULTS	UNITS R	EFERENCE	METHOD	DATE/TIME/ANALYST
Bacteria, Coliform, Total,	MPN	1.0	MPN/ 100 ml	<1.0	SM20 9223B	10/20/2023 / 1020 / CRS
Bacteria, E. coli, MPN		<1.0	MPN/ 100 ml	<1.0	SM20 9223B	10/20/2023 / 1020 / CRS
Nitrate.		<0.40	mg/L (as N)	10	EPA 300.0	10/19/2023 / 2200 / CRS
Turbidity		0.90	NTU	<10	SM2130B	10/20/2023 / 0950 / KDR
Sand		ND	mg/L	5	Visual/Gravimetric	10/20/2023 / 1035 / CRS

#### NOTES:

- 1 Revised Report at request of client to correct reference 11/6/23 CRS.
- 2 mg/L = milligrams per liter (also, parts per million)
- 3 MPN/ 100 ml = Most Probable Number [of viable bacteria] per 100 ml of sample.
- 4 NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units
- 5 Results less than or within the reference range are considered satisfactory and within potable water limits at the time of sampling.
- 6 Sample collected by client, analyzed as received
- 7 ND:None Detected
- 8 pH and Chlorine level tested in lab (pH tested after recommended holding time)
- 9 Visual well check: Sealed, vented cap

Reason for Test :Use & OccupancyBuilding Permit # :B22003426

#### Date Reported: <u>11/6/2023</u>

# FOUNTAIN VALLEY ANALYTICAL LABORATORY, INC.

1413 Old Taneytown Rd. Westminster, MD (410) 848-1014 (410) 876-4554

# **REPORT OF ANALYSIS**

Laboratorv ID #: Reference: Location:	162453 Cairn Home 8236 White Fulton, MD		s Lot 5	Account #: Client: Requested By	e	
Date/ Time Collected Date/Time Rec'd: Chlorine ppm: Collected By:		0900 1215	l: ND	Source: Site: Treatment: pH: Well #:	Kitchen Fa None 6.5 HO-18-016	ucet
PARAMETERS		RESULTS	UNITS	REFERENCE M	<b>IETHOD</b>	DATE/TIME/ANALYST
Bacteria, Coliform, Total	I, MPN	<1.0	MPN/ 100	ml <1.0	SM20 9223B	11/7/2023 / 0810 / CRS
Bacteria, E. coli, MPN		<1.0	MPN/ 100	ml <1.0	SM20 9223B	11/7/2023 / 0810 / CRS

#### **NOTES:**

- 1 Revised Report: Reason for test corrected to Use & Occupancy; this is not the second consecutive passing bacteria. 11/7/ CCH/FVAL
- 2 MPN/100 ml = Most Probable Number [of viable bacteria] per 100 ml of sample.
- 3 Results less than or within the reference range are considered satisfactory and within potable water limits at the time of sampling.
- 4 Sample collected by client, analyzed as received
- 5 ND:None Detected
- 6 Visual well check: Sealed, vented cap
- 7 pH and Chlorine level tested in lab (pH tested after recommended holding time)

Reason for Test :Use & OccupancyBuilding Permit # :B22003426

#### Date Reported: <u>11/7/2023</u>



#### Bureau of Environmental Health 8930 Stanford Blvd, Columbia, MD 21045 Main: 410-313-2640 | Fax: 410-313-2648 TDD 410-313-2323 | Toll Free 1-866-313-6300 www.hchealth.org

#### Maura J. Rossman, M.D., Health Officer

#### Sodium, Chloride and Total Dissolved Solids water sampling results

February 2, 2021

OCR Development 2102 E. Madison St Baltimore, MD 21205

Re: Evergreen Farm, Lot 5 Old Columbia Rd Well Permit: HO-18-0166

Dear OCR Development,

The Health Department received results from the testing for sodium, chloride, and total dissolved solids (TDS) from your well water. These samples were collected directly from the raw well water when your well was drilled.

**Sodium from your well measured 7.04 mg/L**. There is no maximum contaminant level for sodium, however elevated sodium levels in drinking water could affect individuals on low-salt diets. If anyone in your household in on a low-salt diet, you may want to discuss these results with your physician.

Chloride and TDS are both considered secondary contaminants, meaning high concentrations can affect taste, color, odor, or corrosive properties of water but present no risk to health. The secondary maximum contaminant level for chloride is 250 mg/L; **chloride from you well measured <10 mg/L**. The secondary maximum contaminant level for TDS is 500 mg/L; **TDS from your well measured 122 mg/L**.

Feel free contact me at the number or email below with any questions regarding the results of water sampling.

Respectfully,

usar Thomas

Susan Thomas Environmental Health Specialist Howard County Health Department Well and Septic Program 410-313-6287 sathomas@howardcountymd.gov

Cc. File

Send Report To: But Nam	State of Maryland DHMH – Laboratories Administration	particular and the second s
Howard County Health Department Bureau of Environmental Health Stanford Blvd.	Division of Environmental Sciences TRACE METALS LABORATORY 1770 Ashland Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21205	E20002679002 Received: 02/10/2020 Metals HOST0166NA
Columbia, Maryland 21045	LABORATORY ANALYSIS REQ	UEST Do not write above this line
	Please Print	Do not write above this line
Sample ID No: 105TO166 NA	Site Name: Freigeen Farm, Lot	5 County: Haward
Sample Source: Old Columbia	Rd, Fulton Town or City	Collector: Susan Thamas
Sample Preserved By:	Time Collected: (1:45 am)/p.m. ESRL ative Used: HNO <sub>3</sub> 2 mL	$\beta H < 2$ $\beta_3 = 62 - 10 - 20$ WMRL $\Box$ Central Lab
	nking Water 🚽 🗆 Landfill 🔤 S nmunity 🛛 Stream. 🗆 D	ource (Raw Water)
	a-Community - Sediment C vate	Other
Specify Program: D SDWA	□ NPDES □ CWA □ RCRA □ Co	nsumer/Products [] Other
Fype of Sample Preparation: Remarks: <u>collected ct</u>	Gr Total Metals □ Total Metals yield of HO-18-0166	(field preparation required)

	- Element	Lab Use	$\checkmark$	Element. *	Lab Use	1	Element 🤹	Lab Use
	Antimony (Sb)			Aluminum (Al)			Uranium (U)	
	Arsenic (As)			Calcium (Ca)			Vanadium (V)	
	Barium (Ba)	100		Cobalt (Co)			Zinc (Zn)	1
	Beryllium (Be)	0		Copper (Cu)				-
	Cadmium (Cd)	_//		Iron (Fe)				odrum .
	Chromium (Cr)			Lead (Pb)	ĸ		-	1-1
10	Mercury (Hg)	54		Magnesium (Mg)				2 Caller
	Nickel (Ni)	-		Manganese (Mn)		*		
	Selenium (Se)			Molybdenum (Mo)			-	4
V	Sodium (Na)	The		Potassium (K)		_		
	Thallium (Tl)			Silver (Ag)			and the second second	

Lab Supervisor:

•Phone: (443) 681 - 4596 •Fax: (443) 681 - 4507

.

Date Reported: \_\_\_\_\_\_

DHMH 4432 (05/17)

SUBMITTER'S COPY



State of Maryland Department of Health Laboratories Administration Division of Environmental Sciences TRACE METALS LABORATORY 1770 Ashland Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21205 Robert Myers, Ph.D., Director



# **Certificate of Analysis**

HOWARD CO ENVIRONMENTAL HLTH 8930 STANFORD BLVD COLUMBIA, MD 21045

Lab Project No: E20002679 Date Coll.:02/07/2020 Date Received:02/10/2020 Submitted By: Thomas

Field ID: HOST0166NA Lab No.: E20002679002

Method	Element	Result	Units	Date Analyzed
EPA 200.7	Sodium	7.04	ppm	02/12/2020

Comments:

Wurnishen hersen Approved by:

Approval date: 02/24/2020

\*\*The following methods are included in our A2LA Scope of Accreditation: EPA 200.7, EPA 200.8, EPA 245.1. Samples are tested as received.

This document contains confidential health information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under law. If you have received this information in error, please call (410) 767-6944 and arrange for return or destruction.

Howa Bure <b>89</b> 30	nd Report To: Bert Nixon and County Health Department au of Environmental Health Stanford Blvd. Abia, Maryland 21045	State of Maryland MDH-Laboratories Administration Division of Environmental Sciences INORGANICS ANALYTICAL LABORATORY 1770 Ashland Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21205 WATER ANALYSIS	<b>E20002680002</b> Received: 02/10/2020 Inorganic HOST0166CLT
S A M P L E I D	Bottle Number AOSTO166 CLTPS Address Old Columbia Rd Collected: Date 02/07/2026 Time CHECK (one per box) Drinking Water Landfill Stream Other Community Private Other	Name <u>Etegreon Farm</u> , Lot 5 co Fulton <u>11:45 AM</u> <u>Collector &amp; Susan Thomas</u> Source (raw water) Distribution (treated) MCL	County     County       Data Category     Code       Code     4       Code     4       Submitter     4       4     6287       Code     6       Federal     Project
F I E L D		npling tion Preservation: Iced Spec Total O Spec Conc type ad of H0-18-0166	Acid Type of Acid

CHECK TESTS	TESTS	Error Code	RESULTS
	Alkalinity (Total)		
$(\cdot)$	Ammonia - N		
V	Chloride		
	Conductance*, Spec.		
-	Dissolved Solids (Total)		
	Hardness		
	Fluoride		
	Nitrite, N		
	Nitrate + Nitrite, N		
	Sulfate		
	Total Solids		
	Turbidity*		
	Other:		
	* SAMPLE TEMPERATURE OUT	SFDE OF	ACCEPTABLE RANGE NO ICE IN
	COOLER. THIS SAMPLE	SHOULD 9	35 2/10/20
			-
~			
- And			

\* Results reported in Units, all others in milligrams per liter (ppm)

\*Samples are tested as received. Date Reported\_\_\_\_\_

Number of Tests Requested

Section Chief\_\_\_\_\_\_SUBMITTER'S COPY



State of Maryland Department of Health Laboratories Administration Division of Environmental Sciences INORGANICS ANALYTICAL LABORATORY 1770 Ashland Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21205 Robert Myers, Ph.D., Director



**Certificate of Analysis** 

HOWARD CO ENVIRONMENTAL HLTH 8930 STANFORD BLVD COLUMBIA, MD 21045

Lab Project NoE20002680 Date Coll. 02/07/2020 Date Received: 02/10/2020 Submitted By: S. Thomas

Field ID: HOST0166CLTDS Lab No.: E20002680002 **Date Analyzed** Units Method Result Analyte 02/24/2020 Chloride SM 4500-CI E <10 mg/L 02/14/2020 **Total Dissolved Solids** SM 2540C 122 mg/L

Comments:

Approved by:

Shahler andi

Approval date: 02/25/2020

\*The following methods are included in our A2LA Scope of Accreditation: EPA150.1, EPA 353.2, EPA 375.2, SM4500F C, SM 4500-CN G & QCM-CN, QCM-CN. Samples are tested as received.

This document contains confidential health information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under law. If you have received this information in error, please call (410) 767-6190 and arrange for return or destruction.

## MICHAEL BARLOW WELL DRILLING 522 UNDERWOOD LANE BEL AIR, MD 21014 410-838-6910

Howard County Health Department 7178 Columbia Gateway Drive Columbia, MD 21046 Attn: Ryan Rappaport September 10, 2020

Re: Evergreen Farm

Mr. Rappaport,

We received your email outlining your review of our completion reports for the Evergreen Farm project and have responded to each bullet point below.

1)The full size plan showing our attempts and septic areas for the subdivision will need to be supplied by the developer, but we will forward a copy of your email to them as a reminder.

2)The successful (1.5 gpm) well on lot 2 was not drilled until March 4, 2020 and was tested on March 13<sup>th</sup> as indicated on the yield report. The supplemental well was pumped on February 27<sup>th</sup> in tandem with another attempt to determine if together they would meet the 1 gpm requirement. The other attempt would not maintain at least <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> gpm so the test was stopped a couple hours into it and that other attempt was labeled a dry hole and abandoned. That failed test forced us to continue drilling, which resulted in the 1.5 gpm well. Since we had a well that met the 1 gpm requirement on it's own and we were not utilizing the supplemental well to meet that requirement, we did not test those two wells in tandem. I will provide you a copy of the abbreviated test for the supplemental well and if your office mandates that they be tested in tandem, will advise the developer of that requirement. Both of the wells are within the approved well area and will be located on the final plan.

3)The completion report for lot 4 should have shown 1 unsuccessful well to match the abandonment report provided. Should I send a revision or will you make to correction on your end.

4)There are no issues with the well on lot 5. The incident on 1/29/20 was the result of a ongoing personality conflict between your inspector and our driller, which has been discussed with Kevin Wolf and John Boris. Kevin Wolf made a site visit himself later that day to speak with our driller and contacted our office. Mr. Wolf is well aware of this incident and should be contacted if you need further information.

5)Only one attempt was made on lot 6 which resulted in the 4 gpm well.

.....

6)The tag will be attached to the supplemental well on lot 7 once it has been received. 7)Please notify the developer that the request to use HO-81-2161 has been refused and that a site plan showing a new well area will need to be submitted along with a new well permit application before drilling can continue

8)The missing data on the yield report for HO-18-0129 and completion report for the supplemental well is an oversight on our part. I will send you revisions of both. Once we receive the tag for the supplemental well, it will be attached to the well casing.

Michael Barlow MWD355

### Rappaport, Ryan

From:	Rappaport, Ryan
Sent:	Tuesday, August 11, 2020 10:28 AM
То:	Mike Isom (misom@mbwd.us)
Cc:	Williams, Jeffrey; Wolf, Kevin; Cabahug, Joseph; Thomas, Susan; Oswald, Hank
Subject:	Evergreen Farm - well requirement update

Hello Mike, I've had an opportunity to review the Evergreen Farm well package that you sent in July and was able to discuss it with Jeff and Kevin. Listed below are the follow up requirements needed by Barlow Well Drilling

- A full size plan showing the exact location of all the wells, supplemental wells, dry holes, current well boxes and septic areas for lots 1-8.
- The yield test for the first successful well on lot 2 with 1.5 gpm (well tag # HO-18-0172) was reported by the inspector to be completed on 2/27/20 but the yield report provided by Barlow is dated 3/13/2020. Please explain the discrepancy. Your request for the additional well on lot 2 at .75 gpm has been approved however there was no well exhibit or yield test data provided with the submittal. Please provide a well site plan for the supplemental well and according to COMAR 26.04.04.26 (H)(4) these two wells on lot 2 will need to be yield tested at the same time.
- The completion report for lot 4 (well tag # HO-18-0165) notes that there were no unsuccessful wells however the driller submitted an abandonment report for a 600' dry hole on this lot. Please clarify this discrepancy.
- On 1/29/2020 the driller refused a drilling inspection for Lot 5 (HO-18-0166), please explain. Is there an issue with this well that needs to be reported to the Health Dept?
- There's a note on the well exhibit for lot 6 which claims there was a dry hole drilled on this lot but the completion reports states that there were no unsuccessful wells. Please clarify and if there is a dry hole on this lot please provide an abandonment report and make note of it on the full size plan which has been requested.
- The supplemental well for lot 7 has all the necessary submittals and has been approved. The approved permit with a well tag will be sent to Barlow Well Drilling in the mail.
- The request to yield the 8250 Old Columbia Rd existing well (tag # HO-81-2161) and use it to serve lot 8 by using an easement designation has been denied. The HO-81-2161 well is not noted on any plan provided to the Health Dept. Lot 8 will require a successful well within the lot boundaries in order to be a buildable lot. If the developer wants to maintain this as a buildable lot, a new well box will need to be reviewed by the Well & Septic Supervisor and must meet all required setbacks. The new proposed well box should be noted on the requested full size plan for review.
- The depth at which the pump was set for the well yield report for the Parcel A lot (tag# HO-18-0129) must be provided. The grouting record on the completion report for the Parcel A supplemental well is missing and must also be provided. The supplemental well permit application has been approved and the permit along with a well tag will be sent to Barlow once this Parcel A additional information has been provided to the Health Dept.

### Williams, Jeffrey

From:	amiller@bei-civilengineering.com
Sent:	Wednesday, October 16, 2019 9:16 AM
То:	Williams, Jeffrey
Subject:	RE: F-18-047 Fulton Hill

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

I think we'll do the box - that way if they do have a well that doesn't make it, they will know where the limits are.

Thanks for the response!

Alice A. Miller, P.E. 410-465-6105 (voice)

From: Williams, Jeffrey <jewilliams@howardcountymd.gov> Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2019 9:09 AM To: 'amiller@bei-civilengineering.com' <amiller@bei-civilengineering.com> Subject: RE: F-18-047 Fulton Hill

That note looks fine. If you want to just stake the individual well site at the back, that is also fine, just make sure the staked location is the same as the little w circle on the plan and it wouldn't hurt to add a little note to the plan indicating that just the well site is staked, not the whole box.

From: amiller@bei-civilengineering.com <amiller@bei-civilengineering.com> Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 3:39 PM To: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>iewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Subject: RE: F-18-047 Fulton Hill

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Jeff – I'm starting the well exhibits for this plan, and since we discussed locating the wells at the rear of the box, I think we'll show and stake a single location within the well box. I also added a note (below and on the right side of the plan view). Let me know if you would like this to read differently. I'm going to try to wrap this up tomorrow (Wednesday, Oct 16), so if you haven't had a chance to look before then, your reviewer may see them coming in soon.

Alice A. Miller, P.E. 410-465-6105 (voice)

From: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>iewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 9:31 AM To: 'amiller@bei-civilengineering.com' <<u>amiller@bei-civilengineering.com</u>> Cc: Oswald, Hank <<u>hoswald@howardcountymd.gov</u>>; Edmondson, Chad <<u>cedmondson@howardcountymd.gov</u>>; Thompson, Phil <<u>pthompson@howardcountymd.gov</u>>; Chris Malagari <<u>cmalagari@bei-civilengineering.com</u>> Subject: RE: F-18-047 Fulton Hill

Thanks Alice. We'll take the SDP location of the other well to confirm 50+' separation.

As for the other wells, I had forgotten our previous discussions about the swales. In the 3 yeasr since, we've tightened down even more on trying to prevent road salt problems, but we will honor what we agreed to on this project. I would like to make sure that the initial wells on those lots get drilled at the back edge of the well boxes to maximize separation. We'll note it in the file and when you go to drill, maybe we can just have the back edge line staked for the driller.

Chad: we'll accept this as-is. Thanks for looking out and sorry for the couple day limbo based on me forgetting that we did this already back in 2016. Jeff

From: amiller@bei-civilengineering.com <amiller@bei-civilengineering.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 09, 2019 2:23 PM
To: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>iewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>>
Cc: Oswald, Hank <<u>hoswald@howardcountymd.gov</u>>; Edmondson, Chad <<u>cedmondson@howardcountymd.gov</u>>;

Thompson, Phil <<u>pthompson@howardcountymd.gov</u>>; Chris Malagari <<u>cmalagari@bei-civilengineering.com</u>> Subject: RE: F-18-047 Fulton Hill

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Jeff – DED brought this up during the development reviews. We confirmed with the Health Department that the minor 'disconnection' drainage areas near lots 1-4, and 6-8 would be allowable and 'not be considered a swale'. (see attached) The inlets capture these minor flows.

We didn't field location the well (I can't say why, except that so much of the Church's sign was on 'our' property that the field guys probably already thought they had gone far enough). However, we did locate it from the church's SDP. On the attached plan, you can see that the well is about 49' (maybe even 50'). The outside edge of the swale is 3.4' off the property, so the well is 52.4' off the swale.

Alice A. Miller, P.E. 410-465-6105 (voice)

From: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>iewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 1:19 PM
To: <u>AMILLER@BEI-CIVILENGINEERING.COM</u>
Cc: Oswald, Hank <<u>hoswald@howardcountymd.gov</u>>; Edmondson, Chad <<u>cedmondson@howardcountymd.gov</u>>;
Thompson, Phil <<u>pthompson@howardcountymd.gov</u>>
Subject: F-18-047 Fulton Hill

Hello Alice. DED caught something on the plat supplemental for this project. There are roadside swales and inlets less than 50' from the wellboxes on lots 1-4 and 6-8. The well on St. Francis lot 1 may be less than 50' from the entracnce roadside swale, the plan just says approximate well location and shows it 56' away.

The perc cert we signed in 2018 contained a note that stated all wells would be over 50' from roadside swales or curb and gutter would be utilized. We need this to be revised before we can sign the plat or release any well permits. Thanks

Jeff Williams Program Supervisor, Well & Septic Program Bureau of Environmental Health Howard County Health Dept. 410-313-4261

### Williams, Jeffrey

From:	Oswald, Hank <hoswald@howardcountymd.gov></hoswald@howardcountymd.gov>
Sent:	Thursday, October 20, 2016 8:39 AM
То:	Alice Miller
Subject:	RE: Health Department conversation record
Attachments:	Evergreen Farms SWM and Grading.pdf

Hi Alice:

We never take a setback from sheet flow credit, only actual devices or graded swales. In terms of the swale, we have agreed to consider the grading as shown on the attached sheet to be minor enough in nature to not take a 50' setback. Hank

From: Alice Miller [mailto:amiller@bei-civilengineering.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 7:45 AM
To: Oswald, Hank
Cc: Billy Seay; Chris Malagari
Subject: RE: Health Department conversation record

Hank - Can you provide a confirmation (or correction!) on this? I need to send something to DED before they reject the ECP again.

Thank you,

Alice A. Miller, P.E. 410-465-6105 (voice)

From: Alice Miller [mailto:amiller@bei-civilengineering.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 1:09 PM
To: Oswald, Hank (hoswald@howardcountymd.gov)
Cc: Billy Seay; Chris Malagari (cmalagari@bei-civilengineering.com)
Subject: Health Department conversation record

Hank;

For the purpose of documentation for plan submission, following are the issues DED raised, which we've discussed, and the resolutions:

Driveway sheetflow disconnections may overlap well boxes.

Swales must be 50' from well boxes, but given the minor nature of the road, the sheetflow disconnection treatment of the flow, and the minor drainage area, the conveyance swales in front of Lots 2,3,4,6 and 7 may be allowed.

Please confirm, or clarify if I've misstated anything!

Alice A. Miller, P.E. Benchmark Engineering, Inc. 8480 Baltimore National Pike, Suite 315 Ellicott City, Maryland 21043 410-465-6105 (voice) 410-465-6644 (fax)

1

From: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>jewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2019 8:18 AM To: Oswald, Hank <<u>hoswald@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Subject: RE: F-18-047

Yes. Page 12 has a decent view if you look close, you can see the little double lines of where the curb starts around the cul de sac. Page 3 has a profile of the curb and gutter. Don't forget to check the file to make sure the lots along the road leading to the cul de sac have a note in red marker on the folder cover saying the wells shall be drilled in the back edge of the well box. That is what we agreed with them to allow the stretch leading to the cul de sac to not have curb and gutter.

From: Oswald, Hank Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 10:59 AM To: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>jewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Subject: F-18-047

Hi Jeff:

Does this plan show curb and gutter? It's hard to tell.

Thanks,

Hank

Hank Oswald Licensed Environmental Health Specialist Howard County Health Department Bureau of Environmental Health Well & Septic Program 8930 Stanford Boulevard Columbia, MD 21045 410.313.1786 (Office) hoswald@howardcountymd.gov

9.6 **HOWARD COUNTY** HEALTH DEPARTMENT

#### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message and the accompanying documents are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this email is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you are strictly prohibited from reading, disseminating, distributing, or copying this communication. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original transmission.

From: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>jewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2019 8:18 AM To: Oswald, Hank <<u>hoswald@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Subject: RE: F-18-047

Yes. Page 12 has a decent view if you look close, you can see the little double lines of where the curb starts around the cul de sac. Page 3 has a profile of the curb and gutter. Don't forget to check the file to make sure the lots along the road leading to the cul de sac have a note in red marker on the folder cover saying the wells shall be drilled in the back edge of the well box. That is what we agreed with them to allow the stretch leading to the cul de sac to not have curb and gutter.

From: Oswald, Hank Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 10:59 AM To: Williams, Jeffrey <<u>jewilliams@howardcountymd.gov</u>> Subject: F-18-047

Hi Jeff:

Does this plan show curb and gutter? It's hard to tell.

Thanks,

Hank

Hank Oswald Licensed Environmental Health Specialist Howard County Health Department Bureau of Environmental Health Well & Septic Program 8930 Stanford Boulevard Columbia, MD 21045 410.313.1786 (Office) hoswald@howardcountymd.gov



### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message and the accompanying documents are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this email is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you are strictly prohibited from reading, disseminating, distributing, or copying this communication. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original transmission.

### **Oswald, Hank**

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Oswald, Hank Wednesday, August 31, 2022 11:25 AM 'mtran@glwpa.com'; Kristy Pierce (kpierce@glwpa.com) OSDS Plan Review\_8236 White Pine Court well lot 5.pdf

Hi All:

The OSDS Plan for 8236 White Pine Court was reviewed with the following comment:

• The well tag # does not match our record for lot 5. Please see attached.

I wasn't sure who to contact.

Thanks,

Hank

Hank Oswald, L.E.H.S. Howard County Health Department Well & Septic Program 410.313.1786 hoswald@howardcountymd.gov